

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                 | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | A7 | TTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|----|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/511,071                                      | 10/12/2004      | Steven Victor Jones  |    | BR8812                | 7044             |
|   | 2590 02/02/2007 |                      | Г  | · EXAM                | INER .           |
| The Black & Decker Corporation  Mail Stop TW199 |                 |                      |    | MITCHELL, KATHERINE W |                  |
| 701 East Joppa Road<br>Towson, MD 21286         |                 | . 9                  | 3  | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 202011, 1                                       | ,               |                      |    | 3677                  |                  |
|   |                 | •                    | _  |                       |                  |
|   |                 |                      |    | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                 |                      |    | 02/02/2007            | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.       | Applicant(s)         |  |  |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| 10/511,071            | JONES, STEVEN VICTOR |  |  |
| Examiner              | Art Unit             |  |  |
| Katherine W. Mitchell | 3677                 |  |  |

|  | Katherine W. Mitchell   | 3677  | •   |
|--|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add  | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  |   |   |   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o            | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>fidavit, or other eviden<br>compliance with 37 CI | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)            |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.   |   |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth   |   |   |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | 06.07(f).   |   |   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)   | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office                   | ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   |   | <b></b>   |   |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the  |   |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief.  | will not be entered be  | ecause                                    |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  | nsideration and/or search (see NO   | TE below);  |   |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | w);   | •   |   |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel<br>appeal; and/or  | tter form for appeal by materially re   | ducing or simplifying t   | the issues for                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rej   | ected claims.   |   |
| NOTE: new limitation require further search. (See  | 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> </ol>  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | mpliant Amendment (   | PTOL-324).                                |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>  | :   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | lowable if submitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendme  | nt canceling the                          |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the control of the contr | oxtimes will not be entered, or b) $oxtimes$ will vided below or appended.  | l be entered and an e   | explanation of                            |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |   | •   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5; 7-10</u> .  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | •   |   |   |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome all rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fail  | ls to provide a                           |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/>based on unentered limitations.</li> </ol>   | t does NOT place the application in   | າ condition for allowar   | nce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   | 1   |
| 13. Other:   |   | Walley 1  | hithley                                   |
|  |   | Katherine W Mitche  |   |
|  |   | Primary Examiner  |   |

Art Unit: 3677